

SCARING A QUEEN.

VICTORIA RECEIVES AN OVATION AND A FRIGHT.

Her Royal Subjects Receive Her with Enthusiasm, and a Crank Fires a Pistol into Her Carriage—Gladstone's Irish Policy—Leseppe's Boast.

LONDON, March 24.—The queen, according to the announced arrangement, went to the palace to receive the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and to the ceremony of laying the foundation of the new extension of the college of engineers on the Thames embankment. She was accompanied by the Prince and Princess of Wales and other members of the royal family. The weather was clear and beautiful, and the queen turned out in vast numbers along the route taken by her majesty. The shops and club buildings on the way were decorated with flags and streamers, and displayed an abundance of royal mottoes. The royal party was everywhere greeted with the warmest enthusiasm. The queen appeared to be surprised at the general enthusiasm, and she was seen to smile and bow to the crowds with evident pleasure. The people rushed alongside the royal carriage, and many of them were seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. When the queen reached the palace, she was met by the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and they were all driven to the palace in a carriage. The queen was then driven to the palace, and she was met by the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and they were all driven to the palace in a carriage.

At one point, a man in a dark coat and a hat, who was seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited, fired a pistol into the queen's carriage. The queen was not hurt, but she was startled, and she was seen to smile and bow to the crowds with evident pleasure. The people rushed alongside the royal carriage, and many of them were seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. When the queen reached the palace, she was met by the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and they were all driven to the palace in a carriage. The queen was then driven to the palace, and she was met by the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and they were all driven to the palace in a carriage.

Charles Brown is found to be the name of the man who fired the pistol. He was a man of about thirty years of age, and he was seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. He was arrested by the police, and he was taken to the police station. The queen was then driven to the palace, and she was met by the duke of Devonshire and his wife, and they were all driven to the palace in a carriage.

LONDON, March 24.—Mr. Gladstone's plans will not press the land purchase bill, but it is seen that it cannot be carried, he has decided to drop it. He is said to be comparatively indifferent to the fate of the latter measure, and he is said to be more interested in the bill for the re-election of the members of parliament for the North. Mr. Gladstone had a conference with the queen at the palace to-day.

At an official reception in London this evening to celebrate the return of the Ulster members of the house of commons several of the Ulster members of the house of commons were present. The Ulster members of the house of commons were present, and they were seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. The Ulster members of the house of commons were present, and they were seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

PRINCE ALEXANDER CENSURED. ST. PETERSBURG, March 24.—The *Journal de St. Petersburg* censures Prince Alexander of Oldenburg, who is said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. The *Journal de St. Petersburg* censures Prince Alexander of Oldenburg, who is said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

PARIS, March 24.—An alarming revolt took place to-day in the prison at Chalons. The prisoners were much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. The prisoners were much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

LEAGUE UNDER ANARCHISTS' CONTROL. BRUSSELS, March 24.—The strike began by the miners at Liege, a fortnight ago, is said to be under the control of anarchists. The miners at Liege are said to be under the control of anarchists.

THE PANAMA CANAL. PARIS, March 24.—M. de Lesseps, during his address at the banquet given to him by the municipal authorities of St. Nazaire, declared that the canal was making good progress, and that the waterway would be completed in 1888.

THE PENALTY DECREES PUBLISHED. BALTIMORE, March 24.—The decrees issued by the penitentiary commission, held in this city in connection with the trial of the late President, are said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

PROTECTING THE OREGON PASSENGERS. NEW YORK, March 24.—A lawyer of this city has been retained by passengers of the Oregon, to protect their interests. The passengers of the Oregon are said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

ALDERMAN JACHIN RELEASED. NEW YORK, March 24.—Judge Alderslade yesterday denied Alderman Jachin's application for a reduction of bail from \$25,000 to \$10,000. Alderman Jachin was released.

HARLAN AWARDED THE SEAT. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE CARROLL MASSACRE. JACKSON, Miss., March 24.—The *Chronicle*, a newspaper of widespread influence, published here, and the late official journal of the state, has a long editorial condemning the Carroll massacre.

NEW ORLEANS RACES. NEW ORLEANS, March 24.—The winners in the races were: Hambleton, a gelding, owned by J. H. Jones, and driven by J. H. Jones; and J. H. Jones, a gelding, owned by J. H. Jones, and driven by J. H. Jones.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE GREAT STRIKE.

A Compromise Effected—The Missouri Pacific Fails to Move a Freight Train.

KANSAS CITY, Mo., March 24.—General Manager Nettleton, of the Kansas City, Fort Scott and Gulf road, at whose office the conference of railway officials was held this afternoon at 4 o'clock, gave out for publication a compromise had been effected with the strikers, and that the men will go to work at once. By the settlement the general managers agree to pay the strikers the Chicago scale price. This raises the blockade from the city, except as regards the Missouri Pacific road.

CHICAGO, March 24.—The *Inter Ocean*, the fifth attempt of the Missouri Pacific to move freight trains out of this city occurred to-day. At 11 o'clock in the morning, a caboose started out with the intention of picking up cars at Papillion, just outside the city. The strikers, however, did not allow it to run to the Holt line track, where they left it guarded.

ST. LOUIS, March 24.—The militia ordered under arms by Governor Bland, of Missouri, to move out of the city, and to be ready to move out at once. The militia is said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

NEW YORK, March 24.—Jay Gould was asked if the Missouri Pacific would submit to a compromise. He replied with unusual calmness, and he was seen to smile and bow to the crowds with evident pleasure.

GEN. HAZEN VERY TIRED. Explaining Alleged Irregularities in Signal Service Accounts—About Capt. Howgate's Charge.

Gen. Hazen, chief signal officer, appeared before the House committee on expenditures in the War Department yesterday, and explained the alleged irregularities in the signal service accounts. He was seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

Gen. Hazen, chief signal officer, appeared before the House committee on expenditures in the War Department yesterday, and explained the alleged irregularities in the signal service accounts. He was seen to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

EDUCATION BILL—A CONFERENCE. A conference of some thirty or forty members friendly to the educational bill was held yesterday morning in the room of the committee on education in the house of commons.

PARIS, March 24.—An alarming revolt took place to-day in the prison at Chalons. The prisoners were much agitated, and showed signs of being excited. The prisoners were much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

LEAGUE UNDER ANARCHISTS' CONTROL. BRUSSELS, March 24.—The strike began by the miners at Liege, a fortnight ago, is said to be under the control of anarchists. The miners at Liege are said to be under the control of anarchists.

THE PANAMA CANAL. PARIS, March 24.—M. de Lesseps, during his address at the banquet given to him by the municipal authorities of St. Nazaire, declared that the canal was making good progress, and that the waterway would be completed in 1888.

THE PENALTY DECREES PUBLISHED. BALTIMORE, March 24.—The decrees issued by the penitentiary commission, held in this city in connection with the trial of the late President, are said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

PROTECTING THE OREGON PASSENGERS. NEW YORK, March 24.—A lawyer of this city has been retained by passengers of the Oregon, to protect their interests. The passengers of the Oregon are said to be much agitated, and showed signs of being excited.

ALDERMAN JACHIN RELEASED. NEW YORK, March 24.—Judge Alderslade yesterday denied Alderman Jachin's application for a reduction of bail from \$25,000 to \$10,000. Alderman Jachin was released.

HARLAN AWARDED THE SEAT. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE CARROLL MASSACRE. JACKSON, Miss., March 24.—The *Chronicle*, a newspaper of widespread influence, published here, and the late official journal of the state, has a long editorial condemning the Carroll massacre.

NEW ORLEANS RACES. NEW ORLEANS, March 24.—The winners in the races were: Hambleton, a gelding, owned by J. H. Jones, and driven by J. H. Jones; and J. H. Jones, a gelding, owned by J. H. Jones, and driven by J. H. Jones.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

THE HOUSE COMMITTEE ON PRIVILEGES AND ELECTIONS. COLUMBIA, Mo., March 24.—The house committee on privileges and elections has submitted its report in the Harlan-Butherford contested election case from Hamilton county. Harlan is awarded the seat.

EUROPE'S COLONIAL CRAZE.

THE MONROE DOCTRINE MUST BE ENFORCED ON THIS CONTINENT.

Senator Platt's Words of Warning—Political Debate Begun in the House—The Administration Arraigned by Representative Burrows.

Delate upon Gen. Logan's bill to increase the efficiency of the army was continued in the Senate during the morning hour yesterday. Mr. Teller opposed the increase of the army, and advocated the taking of a number of Indian children from hostile tribes, placing them in industrial schools, and, thereafter, he claimed, Indian wars would cease. The children would constitute hostages for the good conduct of the parents.

Mr. Platt said we had no army; it was a misnomer to call the present "skeleton" an army. Our nation would in the future have something to say about the world's affairs, and it would have an army—large, but enough to constitute a police force. While it would be right to have a large army, it would be better to have a small army, and to have a large navy.

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

Mr. Burrows, of Michigan, moved to amend the bill, so that it should read: "The President shall have the right to call into the service of the United States any number of militia he may deem necessary, and to use them in any manner he may deem proper."

THE PAN-ETHNIC SCANDAL.

Further Interesting Testimony Given by ex-Representative Casey Young.

Col. Casey Young's testimony before the Pan-Ethnic telephone investigation committee was resumed yesterday. The witness said that when he gave the list of names of the company, he was told that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

THE PAN-ETHNIC SCANDAL.

Further Interesting Testimony Given by ex-Representative Casey Young.

Col. Casey Young's testimony before the Pan-Ethnic telephone investigation committee was resumed yesterday. The witness said that when he gave the list of names of the company, he was told that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

Mr. Gray replied that the President, in making communications to the Senate, was not bound to give the names of the company. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership. He said that the company was not a corporation, but a partnership.

THE WORLD'S EXPOSITION.

A GREAT MEETING OF THE BOARD OF PROMOTION.

The first gathering of the enlarged body—a profitable discussion of details—Congressional and Executive Committees Appointed—A Suggestion from Virginia.

The called meeting of the committee of 20 citizens, appointed by Chairman Webb of the committee considering the advisability of urging on Congress the establishment of a permanent Exposition of the three Americas, at Williams Hall last night was attended by a large proportion of the members, forming a highly representative gathering. Commissioner Webb presided, and opened the meeting by explaining the plans as mapped out and suggested by the discussions of the previous meetings.

Mr. Rogers spoke of your having said that twenty members of Congress wanted to see the exhibition, and he said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it.

Mr. Rogers spoke of your having said that twenty members of Congress wanted to see the exhibition, and he said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it. He said that he would like to see it.